I have no skepticism about the validity of the Constitutional Convention or its power to bring in a new document. All I am saying is I do have some genuine doubt about the power of this Convention just to bring in an amendment when the Constitution itself specifically provides how amendments as distinguished from new documents shall be brought forth. If you just amend, then it seems to me the arguments will be made, you are thrown back on the existing Constitution and not having followed the procedures set forth therein, you are hung up. I am saying to you if it is possible to cut the ground away from that argument now, now is the time to do it.

about this discussion is I don't see how this bill, which is in essence a housekeeping bill, can in any way affect the powers of the Constitutional Convention. No matter what you put in this bill, the Constitutional Convention, if it is legally called, either has the power or it does not have the power to submit an amendment.

ô